F/No. ~~49~~ 91/08
Sl. No 6 &7

1908
(Agriculture)

Subj:- Declines to allow advance for subsistance being granted in a lumb sum in the affected tracts.

Previous references.

1908.
(Agriculture

File No. 91-08

Serial Nos. 6 and 7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6 and 7

Part B. for March 1909

SUBJECT.

Decides to allow advances for subsistence being granted in a lump sum in the affected tracts.

82.6 From the Rev Commr. D 1102 & 17-2-09

Requests that permission may be given to lump sum grants of subsistence allowances to agriculturists instead of them in instalments.

82.7 To do + by Commr of Dr.
No: 69/82-2-09 8-3-09
Decides to grant the permission

G. R. S. 58

G.R.S. 11] 1908.

ERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Agriculture)

NOTES.

Agri File. No. 91-08.

FILE HEADING.

Rule XXVIII of the Revised Rules for the grant of Taccavi advances.

From

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. D. 1102. Dated 17/19-2-09. Received 22-2-09.

Diary No. 5121	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 6

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that permission may be given to lumpsum grants of subsistence allowances to agriculturists instead of giving them in instalments.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

than those prevailing at present

Anticipating a still higher rise of prices, Some of the raiyats of Hosaunga represented to the Dep.ty Comr. of Chitaldrug that it would be more convenient to them if the subsistence allowances granted to them were paid to them in one.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

Serial No.

lump, as that would enable them to purchase food grains at the present rates and lay in a Store for future use. The Dep.y Comr. has accordingly directed his Amildars to issue cheques for the full amounts sanctioned by him and he suggests that Rule XXVIII of the Taluqdari Rules maybe suitably modified. The Rev. Comr approves of the suggestion and proposes that the Rule may be relaxed for a period of 4 months so as to enable Dep.y Comrs. to disburse Subsistence allowances in lumpsum grants of Rs 50

For reasons stated at p. 1 of his letter

It is not quite clear how or why Rule XXVIII requires any modification or relaxation. Rule I. B (iv) provides for a maximum sum of Rs. 200 being granted for subsistence purposes and this sum, or any lesser sum that maybe sanctioned, has to be disbursed monthly or once in two months. The object of this latter provision is obviously to grant at a time first as much as will be required by a family of agriculturists to maintain themselves for a month or two, as the case maybe, and if it is intended to grant sums ranging from 15 to 50 rupees, there seems to be nothing in the rule to prevent its being done. It will be noticed that Dep.y Comrs. may, if they like, go beyond these limits, as they are fixed only at the famine Conference. Further the grant of Subsistence allowances is a measure of relief and

p. 3 of the Rules.

Under Rule XXVIII p. 15

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

and one of an exceptional character. It is to be made available only in the last resort and then under strict conditions. The wish of the raiyats to purchase and lay in a store of food grains is no doubt quite natural, but Govt can scarcely advance money for the purpose. They can & prepare to advance funds required for a month or two, but they can hardly be expected to do so for ~~months~~ longer periods. Nor is it desirable that they should, as they providing agriculturists with the means of ~~Subsistence~~, they take away all desire for any exertion on their part for their livelihood. Nor is there any certainty that the money granted for Subsistence ~~allow~~ is not spent in other ways. It will not do simply to trust to the "provident" habit of the raiyats themselves.

Further if this concession is shown to raiyats, there would be very good reason for the recipients of gratuitous relief (where it takes the form of cash) to claim the same privilege. They may say with equal justice that they too will lay in a store of food grains.

For these reasons it does not seem advisable to relax or modify Rule XXVIII.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00017—3·07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Agri · File No. 91 of 1908 4

Serial No.

The file has been subd to the Dewan -

The Question of the extent to which subsistence grants should be granted is still under consideration and till it is settled, the Dept. Comr. may as well be asked to restrict his measures in this direction and to follow the directions laid down in Govt. order No. 4432-70/agri 105-08-1 of 4.1.09 subd for orders -

Rlg. C.

RR
24/2/09.

RK
24/2/09

Rule XXVIII itself provides that where the amount of the loan for subsistence does not exceed Rs 100 it may at the discretion of the sanctioning authority be issued in one sum.

* I fear this interpretation is not correct

25/2

The Dy Comm apparently wants discretion to be given to him to direct these loans if they do not exceed a certain limit to be given at once without reference to the peculiar merits of each case & thus constitute what is now an exception into the rule, as long as the present Orders

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00017—3·07

Serial No.

Conditions. The reasons for advancing the loans monthly is to prevent ryots from spending all the money they can get as soon as they get it and leaving nothing left at the end of the month to buy food for the next month. If local officers can make sure that the money is spent in purchase of grain & the borrowers have a sufficient stock to last them till the rains fall, there is no objection to the money being given in a lump sum. No general relaxation of the rule therefore seems to be necessary. It is presumed that the advances are given only under the circumstances mentioned in para 5 of G.O. No. R 6332-70- Agri 105-08-1 dated 4th Jan 1909

Seg/ 24/2/09

The discretion asked for by the Revenue may be given.

Dewan 120566 24/2/09

188 24/2/09

—1,00017—3-07

Camp Tumkur,

D.O.No.1599. 17th February 1909.

My dear Rangasami,

Please refer to your letters No.D.635 dated 28th January 1909 and No.D.788 dated 5/6th February 1909 on the subject of giving takavi advances to ryots for their subsistence.

I think the difficulty you feel is due to your putting a too strict interpretation on the words "come to the end of their resources" in para 5 of the Government Order of 4th January 1909. These words were not intended to mean that such advances should be given only to ryots who have sold all their moveables and exhausted their credit; but the meaning is that advances should be given only to such as have no grain or money left. With these words substituted, the rule would stand as follows:-

"This form of relief should be allowed only in cases where the applicants have neither grain (other than seed grain) nor money left, and who from age, sex, infirmity or social position, cannot be expected to go on works".

Please let me know whether, with this explanation, you want any modification of the existing orders and if so, in what manner.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- V.P. Madhava Rao.

Serial No.

The question under what conditions or circumstances advances for subsistence should be given is still under discussion and the Revenue Commissioner's reply to the communication addressed to him on the subject on the 17th February has not been received. While there is so much doubt as to whether we have arrived at a stage when the granting of this kind of relief on any large scale is justifiable, we should at least conform to the rules as to the mode of giving the relief. With the experience gained in regard to the results of granting gratuitous relief in some of the tracts in the Tumkur District (vide page 7 of my Tour notes), it is a question whether we should not be encouraging habits of thriftlessness if we gave the whole of the advance in one lump-sum.

Dewan's D.O. No 1599 D 17. 2. 09 (copy putup) —

Put up a draft.

C8B
F8B/09
R
1/3/09

Subd. with a draft for approval.

RR
2/3/09.

BK 2/3/09 Leg / 2/3/09

Send proof to Dewan before issue.

C8B
2/3/09
R
2/3/09

Clean proof submitted to Dewan
R
4/3/09

Recd 4.30 p.m. 1/3/09

Note—Contn. sheet.

1/3/09 4-3 3/3/09 BK 3/3/09

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Agri File No. 91 of 1908/9

Serial No.	
7	To the Rev Commissioner & the Dy Comm's of Dts —
	G.O. no R. 6982-90 dt 8.3.'09 —
	Observes that the ~~grant~~ question of grant subsistence allces on a large scale is under Consideration and declines for reasons specified above to sanction the grant of the subsistence allces in a lump sum in Contravention of the provisions of the revised Code for Land Improvement Loans & Takavi advances
	Subd to Councillors for perusal
	RK 12/3
	RK 12/3/09
	Seen RK 12/3/09
	13/3/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15-5-08

512 Rev

A. 1178-6

Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

No.D. 102/1

Dated Bangalore 17th February 1909.

From

Rajakarya Prasanna.

A.Rangaswami Iyengar Esq.,B.A.B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No.500 dated 11th February 1909 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, reporting that it has been found necessary to modify Rule XXVIII of the revised Rules for the grant of Takkavi advances and Land Improvement Loans so as to admit of advances granted to agriculturists for subsistence under clause (B) (iv) of para I of the same Rules being disbursed in a lump sum instead of in instalments and to state ~~xxxfxxkxxxxx~~ that under the following peculiar conditions now prevailing viz,(1) the very high prices of food grains even in this harvest season,(2) the severe summer we are entering in (3) the indifferent state of crops in several parts of British India,(4) the probability of prices rising still higher within the next one or two months(5) the wisdom of enabling ryots in need to purchase now a few months' supply, I think that the rule may be relaxed for a period of four months at present and loans under this head not exceeding ₹ 50/- may at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioners be allowed to be disbursed in a lump. I may also state that as our ryots are fairly solvent and provi-dent there is little fear of Government running risk or of the ryots themselves wasting the funds and ultimately suffering from starvation when the distress deepens.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

K Subba Rao

For Revenue Commissioner.

A.N.

Letter No.500 dated 11th February 1909 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the Honor to state that in Rule XXVIII of the revised Land Improvement Rules recently issued, it is laid down that loans for subsistence granted for the relief of distress should be disbursed monthly or once in two months. When I visited the worst portions of the Hosadurga Taluk yesterday, the ryots represented to me that the subsistence loans granted to them should be paid in one lump sum and not in driblets to enable them to purchase food grains sold at 11 and 12 seers per rupee at once, this being the season when ryots generally bring to the weekly markets grain in large quantities to meet the Government dues&c. They fear that the prices are likely to go up after a month hence, if early rains fail. As their representation is reasonable and as the amounts of loan granted to them range from ₹15/- to ₹50/-, I have in anticipation of your kind approval directed the Amildars to issue cheques for the full amount of the loans sanctioned by me and request that Government may kindly be moved to modify the rule accordingly.

C O P Y

M.A.N.

For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.S. 28]

Agri File No. 91-08
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Sgd.)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 8/3/1909

Signed by P.G.D Long Sgt

Revised proof sent to Press.
(Not issued in mss)

READ— The following Letter No. D. 1102 dated the 17th Feb. 1909 from the Rev. Commr. in Mysore :-

Here Enter the letter with Enclosure.

ORDER THEREON.—No. 82-6982—90

Govt observe that the circumstances and conditions under which advances to agriculturists for subsistence should be given, and the stage at which their grants operate on large

Justified.

SUBJECT

are
large scale is justifiable ~~to~~ still
under consideration and the opinion
of the Rev. Comrs. has been called
for thereon. The experience gained
in parts of the Tumkur District
in regard to the results of granting
gratuitous relief has not been
satisfactory; and Government fear
that similar undesirable conse-
quences ~~will follow the grant of~~
~~subsistence allowances over a large~~
~~scale~~. Under the circumstances
therefore they are of opinion that
the Rules framed under ~~the~~ Sec. 10
of the Land Improvement Loans Regn
and the Land Rev. Code should be
strictly observed and that ~~the~~
~~mode of giving~~ subsistence grants
~~should be made in conformity only~~
Should conform to the provisions ~~contained~~
~~therein~~ mode prescribed therein

as far as it has been
possible for Govt to observe them

the grant of subsistence allowances
on a large scale may lead to

besides its encouraging
habits of unthriftiness in the
advance is given as a
famine scheme.

See. 194
of

should not be advanced in a
famine but in
conformity with the

Print.

SBJ

RK
To 2/3/07

Offg Secy to Govt.

The Rev. Comr. in Mysore.
The Dep.y Comrs of Districts.
Copy to Br.II.

Secy's order - ~~Collector~~
not to print the copies
received -

Printed copies recd 12/4/07
" " 13/4/07

D Draft

Mar. 09 1 I—Rev. Sec. 3

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue (Agriculture), dated 1909.

READ—

The following letter No. D. 1102, dated the 17th February 1909, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore :—

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No. 500, dated 11th February 1909, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, reporting that it has been found necessary to modify rule XXVIII of the revised rules for the grant of takavi advances and Land Improvement Loans so as to admit of advances, granted to agriculturists for subsistence under clause (B) (iv) of para I of the same rules, being disbursed in a lump sum instead of in instalments and to state that under the following peculiar conditions now prevailing, *viz.*, (1) the very high prices of food grains even in this harvest season, (2) the severe summer we are entering in, (3) the indifferent state of crops in several parts of British India, (4) the probability of prices rising still higher within the next one or two months, (5) the wisdom of enabling raiyats in need to purchase now a few months' supply, I think that the rule may be relaxed for a period of four months at present and loans under this head not exceeding Rs. 50 may at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioners be allowed to be disbursed in a lump. I may also state that as our raiyats are fairly solvent and provident there is little fear of Government running risk or of the raiyats themselves wasting the funds and ultimately suffering from starvation when the distress deepens.

Letter No. 500, dated 11th February 1909, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to state that in Rule XXVIII of the revised Land Improvement Rules recently issued, it is laid down that loans for subsistence granted for the relief of distress should be disbursed monthly or once in two months. When I visited the worst portions of the Hosdurga Taluk yesterday, the raiyats represented to me that the subsistence loans granted to them should be paid in one lump sum and not in driblets to enable them to purchase food grains sold at ~~eleven~~ and ~~twelve~~ seers per rupee at once, this being the season when raiyats generally bring to the weekly markets grain in large quantities to meet the Government dues, etc. They fear that the prices are likely to go up after a month hence, if early rains fail. As their representation is reasonable and as the amounts of loan granted to them range from Rs. 15 to Rs. 50, I have in anticipation of your kind approval directed the Amildars to issue cheques for the full amount of the loans sanctioned by me and request that Government may kindly be moved to modify the rule accordingly.

No. R. —AGRI. , DATED BANGALORE, MARCH 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—Government observe that the circumstances and conditions under which advances to agriculturists for subsistence should be given, and the stage at which their grant on a large scale is justifiable are still under consideration, and the opinion of the Revenue Commissioner has been called for thereon. The experience gained in parts of the Tumkur District in regard to the results of granting gratuitous relief, as far as it has been possible for Government to observe them, has not been satisfactory and Government fear that the grant of subsistence allowances on a large scale may lead to similar undesirable consequences, besides encouraging habits of unthriftiness if the advance is given as a lump sum. Under the circumstances, therefore, they are of opinion that the rules framed under Section 10 of the Land Improvement Loans Regulation and Section 194 of the Land Revenue Code should be strictly observed and that subsistence grants should not be advanced in a lump sum, but should be made only in conformity with the mode prescribed therein.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. S.

Proofs for ...

D.

—3-3-

1908-09

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

REVENUE (AGRICULTURE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated *1909.*

No.

Declining, for reasons specified, to allow advances for subsistence being granted in a lump sum in the ~~Bank~~ affected tract.

Secy's order - "need not be plac
at the disposal of the Press.
8/3/09.

GPB-1309-AG.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue (Agriculture), dated 8th March 1909.

READ—

The following letter No. D. 1102, dated the 17th February 1909, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore :—

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No. 500, dated 11th February 1909, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, reporting that it has been found necessary to modify Rule XXVIII of the revised rules for the grant of takavi advances and land improvement loans so as to admit of advances, granted to agriculturists for subsistence under clause (B) (iv) of para I of the same rules, being disbursed in a lump sum instead of in instalments, and to state that under the following peculiar conditions now prevailing, *viz.*, (1) the very high prices of food grains even in this harvest season, (2) the severe summer we are entering in, (3) the indifferent state of crops in several parts of British India, (4) the probability of prices rising still higher within the next one or two months, (5) the wisdom of enabling raiyats in need to purchase now a few months' supply, I think that the rule may be relaxed for a period of four months at present and loans under this head not exceeding Rs. 50 may, at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioners, be allowed to be disbursed in a lump. I may also state that as our raiyats are fairly solvent and provident there is little fear of Government running risk or of the raiyats themselves wasting the funds and ultimately suffering from starvation when the distress deepens.

Letter No. 500, dated 11th February 1909, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to state that in Rule XXVIII of the revised Land Improvement Rules recently issued, it is laid down that loans for subsistence granted for the relief of distress should be disbursed monthly or once in two months. When I visited the worst portions of the Hosdurga Taluk yesterday, the raiyats represented to me that the subsistence loans granted to them should be paid in one lump sum and not in driblets to enable them to purchase food grains sold at 11 and 12 seers per rupee at once, this being the season when raiyats generally bring to the weekly markets grain in large quantities to meet the Government dues, etc. They fear that the prices are likely to go up after a month hence, if early rains fail. As their representation is reasonable and as the amounts of loan granted to them range from Rs. 15 to Rs. 50, I have in anticipation of your kind approval directed the Amildars to issue cheques for the full amount of the loans sanctioned by me and request that Government may kindly be moved to modify the rule accordingly.

No. R. 6982-90—AGRI. 91-08-7, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH MARCH 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—Government observe that the circumstances and conditions under which advances to agriculturists for subsistence should be given, and the stage at which their grant on a large scale is justifiable are still under consideration, and the opinion of the Revenue Commissioner has been called for thereon. The experience gained in parts of the Tumkur District in regard to the results of granting gratuitous relief, as far as it has been possible for Government to observe them, has not been satisfactory, and Government fear that the grant of subsistence allowances on a large scale may lead to similar undesirable consequences, besides encouraging habits of unthriftiness if the advance is given as a lump sum. Under the circumstances, therefore, they are of opinion that the rules framed under Section 10 of the Land Improvement Loans Regulation and Section 194 of the Land Revenue Code should be strictly observed, and that subsistence grants should not be advanced in a lump sum, but should be made only in conformity with the mode prescribed therein.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. R.

D
1908-09

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

REVENUE (AGRICULTURE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 8th March 1909

No. R. 6982-90
Agri. 91-08-7

Declining, for reasons specified, to allow advances for subsistence being granted in a lump sum in the affected tracts.

GPB—9-3-09—300.